## For the Northern District of California

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8	TOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	TERRASPAN	N LLC,		
10		Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,	No. C 12-06298 JSW	
11	v.		ORDER VACATING HEARING ON RAVE LLC'S MOTION FOR	
12 13	RAVE LLC,	Defendant and Counterclaimant,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DENYING AS MOOT MOTION TO APPEAR BY PHONE	
14			(Docket Nos. 96, 118)	
15	RAVE LLC,		(20000100070, 220)	
16		Third-Party Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,		
17	v.			
18	GENERAL NANOTECHNOLOGY, LLC,			
19		Third-Party Defendant and		
20	AND	Counterclaimant,		
21	VICTOR KLEY,			
22	VICTOR KL.	Third-Party Defendant.		
23		Time-i arry Defendant.		
24				
25	On November 8, 2013, the Court granted Rave LLC's motion to file a motion for			
26	summary judgment under seal, and it directed Rave LLC to notice the motion for an open			
27				
28				

hearing date on the Court's calendar.	On that same day, Rave LLC filed its motion for
summary judgment under seal, and it	noticed the motion for January 24, 2014.1

The Court finds the motion suitable for disposition without oral argument, and it VACATES the hearing set for January 24, 2014. Accordingly, the Court DENIES AS MOOT the motion to appear by phone. The Court shall issue a written ruling in due course.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 21, 2014



Rave LLC should have, but did not, file a notice of the new hearing date in the public record.